Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,447	KANEKO, TSUYOSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip Nguyen	2828
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Phillip Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kirt Goudy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 July 2006	Time: <u>11:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 3, 5, and 20 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested a few changes in the claims in order to place the application in order for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Ma	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)